Core switch stacking or VRRP

I have already reduced the complexity of this topology, there just 2 links goes between core switches and routers, hence I only need to have 1 vrrp IP, I have also did some failover test, it is ...

Solved: Hello Everyone, I am working on a network design and just wanted to get some feedback. The client has about 150 users and is a 24x7 shop. So, they have very high availability ...

Stacking at the core (regardless of vendor) is universally a bad idea. If they"re not wanting to buy all new expensive gear, you have two options, both with advantages and disadvantages. Split the stack into ...

Stacking turns multiple switches into a single unit for management and provides improved throughput across the switches. Does the core connect to a disti layer or collapsed backbone or ...

SW1 & SW2 are my switches (3750E) and form a stack (the drawn links are stack cables). SW3 & SW4 are independend customer switches (Avaya) and are physically connected with ...

In your case (in the same location), I think stacking is better, which provide a bit faster fail-over time than VRRP, also, it uses less IP addresses. Keep it simple and solve the problem.

400 devices on the network is definitely big enough to justify having a nice VRRP core, with each of your access switches patching back to both physical cores. These frees up your 3750s ...

6300M Core (Stacked or VRRP?) Aruba spec"d out a 6300M core for our corporate office build. In the design it"s a pair of stacked switches. Thought this was a bit odd in lieu of using a redundancy ...

Stacking, rather than VRRP, for Meraki. But the MS425 doesn"t have a real stack port. It has a 40g SFP+. Just put the right optics in and stack it.

I was recently asked whether or not I preferred to use a router redundancy protocol like HSRP, VRRP, or GLBP, or stack switches together to form a sort of "virtual router", and use that for ...

Web: https://prospettivacasa.eu